Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/576,332	BIERNAT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JESSICA T. STULTZ	2873	

	JESSICA I. STULTZ	28/3			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
I. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliaince with 3° TCR* 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3° TCR* 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period oxide valued or 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIANTS.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS (a) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
7. \[\sumset \subseteq for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \subseteq \text{ will not be entered, or b) \subseteq \text{ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. \[\text{The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:					
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing intered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note in attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 3. Ø Other: See Continuation Sheet.					
	/Jessica T Stultz/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2873			

Continuation of 13. Other: For applicant's information, the amendment to claims 7-8, filed November 10, 2008, overcome the previous objections to these claims.

Although the amendments to the claims do not require a new search, the final rejection still stands for the reasons stated in the following paragraphs.

Applicant's arguments filed November 10, 2008, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, regarding independent claim 5, applicant argues that the contact lens "1" of Glynn et al "554 contacts the solera. However, as stated in the Final office action mailed September 10, 2008, Glynn et al "554 discloses that the front lens is lens (28052), with associated fibers (10), which do not come in contact with the eye (See Figures 8-9). Additionally, applicant argues that the fibers do not project light on the sclera of the eye to transilluminate the sclera. However, the examiner disagrees since Glynn et al "554 discloses that the ends of theirs are formed in such a way that the exited. However, the examiner disagrees since Glynn et al "554 discloses that the ends of their sar formed in such a way that the exiting light is projected on the sclera of an eye to be examined and transilluminates the sclera (Column 8, line 48-Column 9, line 28. Shown in Floures 8-9).